

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
Facsimile (702) 949-8321
Telephone (702) 949-8320

E-Filed on 1/2/09

Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199
Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com
Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
MARC A. LEVINSON (CA 57613, pro hac vice)
JEFFERY D. HERMANN (CA 90445, pro hac vice)
400 Capitol Mall
Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 447-9200
Facsimile: (916) 329-4900
Email: malevinson@orrick.com
jhermann@orrick.com

SNELL & WILMER, LLP
ROBERT R. KINAS (NV 006019)
CLAIRE DOSSIER (NV 010030)
3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: (702) 784-5200
Facsimile: (702) 784-5252
Email: rkinas@swlaw.com
cdossier@swlaw.com

Attorneys for Post-Effective Date USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,
LLC,¹

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,²

USA SECURITIES, LLC,³

Debtors.

Affects:

- ☐ All Debtors
☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR¹
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR²
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR³

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No.
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**Objection of USACM Trust to Proof of
Claim of Sherman Simmons Filed in
Wrong Debtor's Case; Objection of
DTDF to Proposed Allowance of Claim
(with Certificate of Service)**

Date of Hearing: February 3, 2009
Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

¹ This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

² This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

³ This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

Sherman Simmons (“Simmons”) filed Proof of Claim No. 10725-00370 against USA commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) in the amount of \$96,094.65. The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) objects to the Simmons claim and moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an order disallowing Proof of Claim No. 10725-00370 filed by Simmons on the ground it is filed in the wrong debtor case.

I. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

II. BACKGROUND

On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM, USA Securities, LLC (“USA Securities”), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC (“USA Realty”), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC (“DTDF”), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC (“FTDF,” together with DTDF, the “Funds”) (collectively, the “Debtors”), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors continued to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtors’ post-petition management of the Debtors was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC (“Mesirow”), who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM is a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.

On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest (the “Bar Date Order”) [Docket No. 1280]. The Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as the deadline (“Bar Date”) for creditors to file proof of claims

On September 25, 2006, the Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Direct Lenders were served with a copy of the Bar Date order as well [Docket No. 1358].

On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket No. 1729].

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" [Docket No. 2376].

Debtors’ Third Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization (the “Plan”) [Docket No. 1799] provides the following deadlines for objections to Claims:

a. for any and all Claims and Equity Interests to which the General Bar Date applies, ninety (90) days after the Effective Date; (B) for any and all Claims to which the Administrative Claims Bar Date or the Professionals Administrative Bar Date applies, thirty (30) days after the expiration of the respective Bar Date; and (C) for any and all Claims to which the Bar Date applicable under section B.3 of Art. V of the Plan applies, thirty (30) days after the expiration of that Bar Date.

USACM’s claims and noticing agent received approximately 2,436 proofs of claim as of the January 13, 2007 Bar Date, asserting claims totaling more than \$997,000,000, plus unknown amounts based on unliquidated Claims.

Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed Plan, as the deadline for such objections has been extended to February 9, 2009, by this Court’s orders.

A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), *aff’d*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim “remains at all times upon the claimant.” *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

IV. OBJECTION TO CLAIM

USACM Trust objects to this claim on the ground it is a claim that was incorrectly filed in the USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) case. The basis for the claim is shown on the face of the proof of claim as an investment in USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund (“DTDF”). Accordingly, it is a claim that was incorrectly filed in the USACM case. The USACM Trust respectfully requests that this claim be disallowed as a claim against USACM.

1 The USACM Liquidating Trust (“USACM Trust”), as successor to USACM
2 entered into a settlement with USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC. On April
3 1, 2008, the Order Granting Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement with USA Capital
4 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC [DE 6091] was signed by this Court. Because DTDF
5 was granted a \$128 million unsecured claim in the USACM Estate, any claim held by
6 Simmons against USACM solely on account of the investment in DTDF is derivative of
7 DTDF’s claims against USACM, and Simmons may not prosecute such claims on
8 Simmons’s own behalf.

9 In *In re Van Dresser Corp.*, plaintiff was a shareholder of Van Dresser, which
10 owned two subsidiaries.⁴ The president of Van Dresser looted the subsidiaries, ultimately
11 forcing them and Van Dresser into bankruptcy. The plaintiff had guaranteed \$1.125
12 million in loans to the debtor, and had to repay them when debtor defaulted. Plaintiff then
13 sued the debtor’s principal, and two other defendants (who he alleged aided and abetted
14 the corporate looting) for various torts, including conversion, breach of fiduciary duty, and
15 civil conspiracy.

16 The court stated that a debtor’s trustee has the exclusive right to assert a debtor’s
17 claims, and that a claim belongs solely to the debtor’s estate if the debtor could have raised
18 the claim at the commencement of the bankruptcy case.⁵ Additionally, if a judgment
19 against a defendant by either the debtor or another party asserting the debtor’s claim
20 precludes the other from recovery, then the claims are not independent of each other and
21 belong exclusively to the debtor.⁶

22
23 ⁴ *In re Van Dresser Corp.*, 128 F.3d 945 (6th Cir. 1997).

24 ⁵ *Id.* at 947 (citing *In re Educators Group Health Trust*, 25 F.3d 1281, 1284 (5th Cir.
25 1994); see also *In re Real Marketing Services, LLC*, 309 B.R. 783 (S.D. Cal. 2004) (citing
26 *Van Dresser* with approval in a case involving an LLC debtor).

⁶ *Id.*

Thus, because both the plaintiff and the debtor corporation could state claims for damages against the defendants, but only one could recover on those claims, by default the claims were exclusively property of the Van Dresser trustees.⁷ In order for a plaintiff to bring a claim, either the trustee must have truly abandoned the claim, or the plaintiff must be able to allege a distinct and specific injury.⁸

Similarly, in *In re Real Marketing*, the debtor had attempted to enter into an asset purchase agreement that specifically included the assumption of debt owed to the debtor's managing member. The agreement fell through, and after the managing member filed an involuntary petition for relief against the debtor, the managing member asserted various causes of action against the purchasing company, including contract claims, debt assumption claims, misrepresentation claims, and interference claims.⁹ The court, however, found that all of the claims involved both the debtor's managing member and the debtor itself, and thus the right to pursue all of the causes of action resided with the trustee.¹⁰

DTDF further objects to any allowance of Simmons' claim as a claim against the DTDF estate. DTDF has determined that Simmons has an allowed proof of interest in the DTDF case in the amount of \$96,094.65. This objection does not seek to prejudice the rights of Simmons as a DTDF member to recover from the DTDF estate on a pro rata basis on account of Simmons' membership interest in the amount of \$96,094.65. Simmons shall

⁷ *Id.* at 948.

⁸ *Id.* at 949 (for example, a claim for the attorneys' fees plaintiff incurred defending the suits on the guaranty).

⁹ *In re Real Marketing*, 309 B.R. 783, 786-87 (S.D. Cal. 2004).

¹⁰ See also *In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc.*, 156 B.R. 414 (S.D.N.Y. 1993) (courts determine whether action is individual or derivative based on state law, and the preferred shareholders breach of fiduciary duty claims were derivative per Delaware law); *In re Van Dresser*, 128 F.3d at 947 ("whether a creditor has sole right to a cause of action is determined in accordance with state law").

retain an interest in DTDF in the amount of \$96,094.65, as reflected in the books and records of DTDF, and Simmons is entitled to receive distributions on a pro rata basis with all other DTDF members.

V. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the USACM Trust and DTDF, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502, Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3007, and Local Rule of Bankruptcy Practice 3007, seek entry of an order that will disallow Simmons' claim against USACM, disallow any proposed allowance of the Simmons' claim in the DTDF case, and appropriately allow Simmons to retain an equity interest in DTDF in the amount of \$96,094.65 as reflected in the books and records of DTDF as of April 13, 2006.

DATED: January 2, 2009.

SNELL & WILMER, LLP

By s/Claire Dossier
Robert R. Kinast
Claire Dossier
3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone (702) 784-5200
Facsimile (702) 784-5252

and

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Marc A. Levinson
Jeffery D. Hermann
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000
Sacramento, California 95814-4497
*Attorneys for Post-Effective Date USA
Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC*

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

By /s/ JCH (pro hac vice)
Susan M. Freeman, AZ 4199 (*pro hac vice*)
Rob Charles, NV 6593
John C. Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: (702) 949-8320
Facsimile: (702) 949-8321
E-mail: rcharles@lrlaw.com
Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

PROOF OF SERVICE

Copy of the foregoing mailed by First
Class, U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, on
January 2, 2009 to the following parties:

Sherman Simmons
3725 Industrial Road
Las Vegas, NV 89109

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

/s/ Yvonne Garcia
Yvonne Garcia